

How Good Is Your Ethics and Compliance Program?

Actions to implement the 2004 expansion of the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines (USSG) for organizations have further heightened organizations' interest in meeting the standards for maintaining a comprehensive ethics and compliance program. The USSG apply to

companies large and small, private and public, and for profit and non-profit. Fines and other punitive measures otherwise imposed by the courts can be reduced or avoided if the organization is deemed to have an effective ethics and compliance program to prevent and deter criminal conduct.

The USSG list seven requirements that an organization must comply with to be eligible for reduced penalties because of mitigating circumstances:

- ◆ Develop compliance standards and procedures tailored to the company's business needs.
- ◆ Designate high-level personnel to oversee compliance.
- ◆ Avoid delegating substantial discretionary authority to employees with a propensity for illegal conduct.
- ◆ Educate employees in the company's standards and procedures

through publications and training.

- ◆ Design a compliance system that includes auditing and monitoring procedures and mechanisms that encourage employees to report potential violations.
- ◆ Enforce standards through appropriate and consistent discipline.
- ◆ Report all violations, and take appropriate steps to improve the program.

The announcement for the 2004 Guidelines revisions notes, "As a fundamental proposition, organizations must promote an organizational culture that encourages ethical conduct." Added responsibilities of senior management and the board of directors include:

- ◆ Being knowledgeable about and exercising reasonable oversight of the program.
- ◆ Ensuring the senior-level compliance and ethics officer has ade-

quate resources, credibility, and access to the board of directors.

- ◆ Exercising independent review by directors.
- ◆ Being sufficiently informed so directors can exercise independent judgment.

The revisions also mandate continuous improvement in an ethics and compliance program through risk assessment and analysis. Chapter 8, Section B.2.1.(c) of the USSG manual states, "Organizations shall periodically assess the risk of criminal conduct and shall take appropriate steps to design, implement, or modify each of the [seven] requirements [previously] set forth to reduce the risk of criminal conduct identified through this process."

The Conference Board, a membership organization providing management education to experienced executives, has published *Universal Conduct: An Ethics and Compliance Benchmark Survey*, its latest survey of corporate ethics programs and practices. The study documents how 225 global companies have designed, implemented, and monitored their compliance and risk assessment programs. It compares current practices with those from three previous studies.

Some of the findings of this survey contrast with the USSG requirements. Although 77% of respondents in a previous Conference Board study said that board members *should* be trained in ethics or compliance issues, only 39% said that their organization's board had actually received training. Regardless of the amount of training board members received, however, contact between the compliance program head and the board is nearly universal.

The report also indicates that companies appear to be making ethics training a priority for a majority of employees. Of companies with ethics programs, 70% said they trained at least 91% of all employees. The three most common subjects taught are related to employment law: sexual harassment, workplace harassment, and ethical sales.

The Conference Board also reports that 91% of surveyed companies had an anonymous reporting system for employee reporting of misconduct, far more than the 52% reported in the 1998 survey. Surprisingly, 9% of responding companies that were subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) have yet to institute a confidential reporting system or hotline, while 78% of companies not covered by SOX have a system in place. At 23 contacts per 1,000 employees per year, use of helplines—which give advice and assistance to the caller—was far greater than contacts to a hotline—for reporting wrongdoing—at five per 1,000 employees. The greater use of advice lines is viewed as a constructive step that represents growing employee sensitivity to ethics-related issues.

An interesting aspect of the Conference Board study is the integration of risk assessment within the organization as a critical component

of an ethics and compliance program. The report relates the thoughts of the director of corporate compliance at Holland & Knight, who states that the objectives of an effective risk analysis program are to “identify potential areas of exposure, develop and implement a risk assessment plan throughout the company, and make periodic adjustments [in processes] based on the results of an ongoing review process.”

Further, steps necessary to identify potential risk areas include “determining the likelihood of misconduct based on the nature of the organization's business; analyzing relevant current regulatory and enforcement initiatives; identifying *all* risks, not just legal and regulatory; and [analyzing] a history of the organization's prior conduct.”

The report also relates the views of the deputy general counsel of Ryder Systems, who believes there are additional benefits from the analysis of risks than just the legal reasons. They include protecting the company brand by providing an early warning process for detecting compliance and ethics threats and allowing companies to correct problems before they are discovered by regulators, investors, potential plaintiffs, or others.

Alice Peterson, president of Syrus Global, a Chicago-based provider of services that advance organizational ethics, notes the interaction of information available from hotline systems and risk management in the broadest sense. In her article, “Looking for Risk in all the Right Places,” (*Internal Auditing*, September/October 2006) she states, “Anonymous reporting is an undiscovered asset to internal auditors that helps them obtain a valuable view of enterprise risk.” Peterson concludes

that there are significant benefits to having confidential communications systems—even in the best-managed organizations that have completely open and trusting cultures. “By enabling anyone any time to report something safely, management and boards can tap into the ‘water cooler’ and can better operate and oversee a well-run enterprise.”

To those with some responsibility for operating an anonymous reporting system, she notes, “This approach not only provides you with a snapshot of enterprise risk, it can also show you how well or poorly your organization is managing those risks.”

A confidential reporting system is not only required for publicly held corporations under the terms of SOX, but the USSG also consider it a critically important component for an organization to be able to avail itself of mitigating provisions if any wrongdoing should occur. In some industries, such as healthcare and defense procurement, industry standards require maintenance of an ethics and compliance program that mirrors the same requirements.

Ethics and compliance programs are growing in importance and are increasingly being recognized as best business practices in all industries. Management accountants everywhere should ascertain the effectiveness of the ethics and compliance program in their company. ■

Curtis C. Verschoor is the Ledger & Quill Research Professor, School of Accountancy and MIS, and Wicklander Research Fellow in the Institute for Business and Professional Ethics, both at DePaul University, Chicago. He is also a Research Scholar in the Center for Business Ethics at Bentley College, Waltham, Mass. His e-mail address is curtisverschoor@sbcglobal.net.